

Predictable evolution toward flightlessness in volant island birds

Natalie A. Wright^{a,b,1}, David W. Steadman^c, and Christopher C. Witt^a

^aDepartment of Biology and Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001; ^bDivision of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812; and ^cFlorida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-7800

Edited by James A. Estes, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, and approved March 9, 2016 (received for review November 19, 2015)

Birds are prolific colonists of islands, where they readily evolve distinct forms. Identifying predictable, directional patterns of evolutionary change in island birds, however, has proved challenging. The “island rule” predicts that island species evolve toward intermediate sizes, but its general applicability to birds is questionable. However, convergent evolution has clearly occurred in the island bird lineages that have undergone transitions to secondary flightlessness, a process involving drastic reduction of the flight muscles and enlargement of the hindlimbs. Here, we investigated whether volant island bird populations tend to change shape in a way that converges subtly on the flightless form. We found that island bird species have evolved smaller flight muscles than their continental relatives. Furthermore, in 366 populations of Caribbean and Pacific birds, smaller flight muscles and longer legs evolved in response to increasing insularity and, strikingly, the scarcity of avian and mammalian predators. On smaller islands with fewer predators, birds exhibited shifts in investment from forelimbs to hindlimbs that were qualitatively similar to anatomical rearrangements observed in flightless birds. These findings suggest that island bird populations tend to evolve on a trajectory toward flightlessness, even if most remain volant. This pattern was consistent across nine families and four orders that vary in lifestyle, foraging behavior, flight style, and body size. These predictable shifts in avian morphology may reduce the physical capacity for escape via flight and diminish the potential for small-island taxa to diversify via dispersal.

birds | islands | morphology | flight | island rule

Birds on islands helped to inspire the theory of evolution by natural selection (1, 2), and they continue to illuminate its mechanisms (e.g., ref. 3). Some studies have reported that the bodies and bills of island birds systematically shift in size, reflecting evolution toward a generalist niche in species-poor communities (4–8). The tendency for island taxa to converge toward intermediate body size after colonizing islands is known as the island rule (4), but this ecogeographic rule has proven to be an inconsistent predictor of evolutionary trends in island bird populations (9–12). Detailed studies of island radiations have revealed idiosyncratic patterns of body size and bill size evolution among species, with morphological changes attributable to taxon-specific changes in foraging ecology (e.g., ref. 12). This inconsistency raises the question as to whether there are predictable evolutionary trends that apply generally to island birds.

The most striking evolutionary trend among island birds is the loss of flight. Transitions to flightlessness are rapid and irreversible (13, 14), with each instance involving the substantial reallocation of mass from the forelimbs to the hindlimbs and near elimination of costly flight muscles (15–18). More than 1,000 independent lineages of island birds have lost flight, including rails, parrots, pigeons, owls, waterfowl, and passerines (13–16). Although widespread, the evolution of island flightlessness requires extreme scarcity of predators and the ability to forage without flight (18–20). Thus, tens of thousands of island bird populations have remained volant, and many bird families that are prolific island colonists contain no flightless species (e.g., kingfishers, hummingbirds, whistlers, and white-eyes).

The dichotomous shift from flight to flightlessness may be subject to a tipping point associated with ecological release from

predators (18). Alternatively, flightlessness may represent an extreme state of a continuum of morphological variation that reflects locomotory requirements for survival and reproduction. Across a continuum of insularity, from continents to small islands, biotic communities exhibit gradients of species diversity (21) and corresponding ecological pressures (22). If flightlessness is illustrative of island bird evolution in general, reductions in predation pressure associated with increased insularity should trigger incremental shifts in energy allocation from the forelimbs to the hindlimbs. Accordingly, we hypothesize that volant island birds, even those unlikely to become flightless, reduce their investment in the flight apparatus. The amount of morphological change should be proportional to the degree of insularity, with more insular populations exhibiting greater reduction in flight morphology. Consistent with this hypothesis, our previous work showed that five species of birds evolved smaller flight muscles and longer legs on the small island of Tobago (23). In this study, we tested whether island size, landbird species richness, raptor species richness, and the presence of mammalian predators could predict shifts in the relative investment in forelimbs versus hindlimbs in 366 bird populations from 80 islands across the Pacific and Caribbean.

Results

To test the hypothesis that island species had evolved smaller flight muscles than their continental relatives, we weighed the two main flight muscles, the *pectoralis major* and the *supracoracoideus*, from more than 8,000 bird carcasses, representing 868 landbird species, 38 of which are restricted to islands (23, 24). With all taxa combined, island-restricted species had smaller flight muscles, relative to body

Significance

Predictable evolutionary trends illuminate mechanisms that affect the diversity of traits and species on the tree of life. We show that when birds colonize islands, they undergo predictable changes in body shape. Small-island bird populations evolve smaller flight muscles and longer legs. These shifts in investment from wings to legs, although often subtle, are qualitatively similar to changes that have occurred in flightless bird lineages. Islands with fewer predator species were associated with more dramatic shifts toward flightlessness, implicating reduced predation pressure as the most likely cause of this trend. These predictable evolutionary changes likely exacerbate the vulnerability of flighted island birds to introduced predators and reduce the potential for small-island species to give rise to subsequent radiations.

Author contributions: N.A.W., D.W.S., and C.C.W. designed research; N.A.W. and D.W.S. performed research; N.A.W. analyzed data; and N.A.W., D.W.S., and C.C.W. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Data deposition: The data are included in *SI Appendix*, have been deposited in figshare (<https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.3123148.v1>), and are available, along with all code needed to replicate all results and figures, at <https://github.com/coereba/islands>.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: nataliestudiesbirds@gmail.com.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1522931113/-DCSupplemental.

Smaller flight muscles likely result in slower maximal flight speeds and slower takeoffs, with longer legs potentially compensating for small flight muscles (25, 28–30). Birds use a leg thrust to generate initial forward velocity during takeoff (28, 29). Flight is extremely costly at airspeeds close to zero, and power requirements decrease as velocity increases to moderate flight speeds (30). Birds' leg thrusts allow their wings and flight muscles to begin generating lift at airspeeds significantly greater than zero, thereby reducing the overall power required for lift production (30). Longer legs provide a longer lever, increasing force generation during the leg thrust that initiates takeoff. Theoretically, this change should reduce the power required from the flight muscles while also reducing the overall speed of escape from a predator, although this prediction has yet to be experimentally tested. Longer legs may have evolved as a result of this functional (28, 29) and/or energetic tradeoff with flight muscle size, because similar tradeoffs occur across the bird phylogeny and within individuals across ontogeny (25). In this way, selection for reduced flight muscles may have indirectly caused leg length to increase. An alternative explanation for longer legs on

depauperate islands is that they may have been directly favored via selection for perch generality and ground foraging (5, 12). Birds on islands of low species richness tend to use a wider variety of habitats, food sources, and perch types (5, 31, 32). Songbirds have been found to evolve longer legs on islands in association with variable perch types (5, 12). Similarly, longer legs are associated with increased terrestriality and walking. If reduced interspecific competition resulting in the use of greater perch variety is the primary driver of the evolution of longer legs on islands of low species richness, we would expect to see this pattern exclusively in birds that use perches in a variety of ways while foraging (e.g., clinging, hanging, hopping, or walking). Instead, even hummingbirds and kingfishers, which tend not to cling, hang, hop, or walk, have longer legs on islands of lower species richness. This phenomenon suggests that biomechanical compensation for small flight muscles is an important cause of elongated legs in volant island birds.

Our results provide new perspective on the evolution of flightlessness. Previous workers have focused on the causes and consequences of the evolution of flightlessness on islands (e.g. (15, 16, 19, 33, 34), but the possibility that volant species are subject to similar mechanisms has not been addressed. We suggest that the profound shape change exhibited during the transition to flightlessness is the extreme manifestation of a predictable pattern of avian evolution in response to ecological release from predators. Certain taxa, like rails, are more likely to reach the extreme state (15, 16, 18). The majority of landbirds that colonize islands, however, appear to be affected similarly, even those that are destined to never evolve flightlessness. Taxa including fruit doves, monarch flycatchers, tanagers, white-eyes, whistlers, fantails, honeyeaters, kingfishers, and hummingbirds exhibited morphological changes along the trajectory toward flightlessness, despite flight ability being integral to their modes of foraging and breeding. The range of variation in the forelimb-hindlimb index reflects a continuum of locomotory modes, from highly aerial to flightless. In insular communities, a shift in the balance of directional selection pressures causes incremental changes along this continuum. Consistent with this idea, McCall et al. (33) found that flightlessness is most likely to evolve in taxa that already have short wings relative to their body mass.

Our results have two major implications for mechanisms of diversification. First, the vulnerability of volant island birds to introduced predators, partly attributable to an evolved reduction in vigilance (“island tameness”; refs. 35 and 36), is exacerbated by reduced physical capacity for escape via flight, increasing extinction risk (37). Second, systematic reduction in flight muscle size could propel taxon cycles (38) by reducing the probability of over-water dispersal from small-island populations. The latter would inhibit interisland gene flow, causing island populations to evolve on independent trajectories, each with reduced probability of undergoing subsequent expansion and diversification.

Materials and Methods

Data Collection. For the comparison of continents versus islands, we used bird specimens that were collected by us and many colleagues using standard museum methods (23, 24). Each bird was weighed, and the pectoralis major and supracoracoideus muscles were extracted and weighed. Flight muscle mass scaled isometrically with body mass; accordingly, we calculated relative flight muscle size by dividing total flight muscle mass by body mass. Species values were obtained by taking the mean of the average male measurement and average female measurement. All mass data were associated with specimens archived at the Museum of Southwestern Biology (University of New Mexico), Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad (Lima, Peru), or Florida Museum of Natural History (University of Florida).

To address forelimb and hindlimb dimensions in island bird populations across a range of insularity, we used museum skeletal specimens from historic to modern collections, focusing on 15 well-represented taxa: Trochilidae; *Macropygia*, *Ducula*, *Ptilinopus*, *Columbina*, and *Zenaidura aurita* (Columbidae); Alcedinidae; Zosteropidae; *Rhipidura* (Rhipiduridae); Meliphagidae;

Monarchidae; *Pachycephala* (Pachycephalidae); *Coereba flaveola*, *Tiaris*, and *Loxia* (Thraupidae). Because the flight muscles attach to the sternal keel, keel size is closely related to flight muscle size. A single measurement, the diagonal length of the keel, which encompasses both length and depth, was the best predictor of flight muscle size and correlated strongly with flight muscle mass both within and among species (*SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods*). We measured all available skeletal specimens of our focal island taxa at five natural history museums with large avian skeletal collections. One person (N.A.W.) made all of the following measurements from each skeletal specimen: diagonal keel length and the lengths of the coracoid, humerus, femur, and tarsometatarsus. Specimens missing any of these elements were excluded from analyses.

Data on island area, landbird species richness, and raptor species richness were compiled from the literature (16, 27, 39–42) for each island from which we had bird skeletal measurements. We included regularly occurring resident or migrant species, but excluded vagrants and rarities. We coded islands for the presence/absence of native mammals that might predate birds.

Analyses. We tested whether island-restricted species have evolved smaller flight muscles than their continental relatives by conducting PGLSs in R with packages *ape* and *nlme* (43–45) using a phylogenetic tree for birds (46) and species averages of relative flight muscle sizes. Species found on both continents and islands (including migrants) were coded as continental. We coded species as “island-restricted” if they were not regularly found on any continent or island larger than 200,000 km². We chose this cutoff because large islands are continent-like in their ecology (e.g., New Guinea has roughly the same landbird species richness as Australia) and because this cutoff was a natural break point in the data. Our dataset included species restricted to islands larger than 450,000 km² and smaller than 200,000 km², but none in between (*Dataset S1*). We restricted analyses to landbirds only (i.e., excluded ducks, seabirds, shorebirds, and grebes). Our dataset included average relative flight muscle sizes for 868 species, of which 59 were restricted to islands, and 38 were restricted to islands smaller than 200,000 km².

To test whether flight muscle size and leg lengths were related to landbird species richness, raptor species richness, mammalian predators, or island area, we analyzed skeletal measurements multiple ways. We used principal component analysis on length measurements of the coracoid, humerus, femur, and tarsometatarsus to account for body size, because the first principal component (PC1) of this analysis included all four variables, loading roughly equally and in the same direction. We used the residuals of a linear model of the skeletal element of interest (i.e., keel length and tarsometatarsus length) by PC1 as a body size-corrected estimate of the character. All results presented are these body size-corrected estimates rather than raw values. Because in most cases keel length and leg length were evolving in concert and were strongly negatively correlated (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and Table S1*), we created a shape index to characterize small flight muscles and long legs. This forelimb-hindlimb index was the second principal component (PC2) from a principal component analysis on keel length and leg length measurements. Both keel and leg length loaded equally and in the same direction in PC1, and in opposite directions in PC2. PC2 explained 25% of the variation when analyzed across the entire dataset.

We conducted PGLS analysis on the entire skeletal dataset, with island population as the unit for analysis and island population means calculated from individual-level data (*Dataset S2*). This analysis required a phylogenetic tree that included relationships among island populations. Therefore, we patched hypothesized relationships among island populations into a species-level tree from Jetz et al. (46), largely derived in its major clades from Hackett et al. (47). We used published phylogeographic studies (48–54) as the basis for hypothesized relationships among island populations. For taxa without published phylogeographic studies, we hypothesized relationships based on subspecies differentiation, relationships among populations of similar species on the same islands, and geographic proximity of islands (see *SI Appendix, Figs. S2–S10* for resulting phylogenetic trees). We performed AIC model selection to test which combination of four variables (raptor species richness, landbird species richness, island area, or presence/absence of mammalian predators) best predicted morphology, eliminating models with uninformative parameters (55).

We also conducted nonphylogenetic linear regression analyses with each morphological character of interest as a function of landbird species richness and island area, respectively, using both population-level means and individuals as units of analysis. We conducted these analyses within each genus or, where sampling within one particular genus was limited, within a family (e.g., Trochilidae, Monarchidae, Meliphagidae). Taxa for which we only had a few island populations were excluded to allow for more clade-specific analyses (e.g., members of Alcedinidae outside of the genus *Todiramphus*).

The resulting 15 focal taxa for the nonphylogenetic analyses included 339 (93%) of the 366 island populations analyzed above.

To test predictions of the island rule as traditionally defined (4), we examined how the slope of the regression of body size vs. island species richness within each genus correlates with mean body size across genera. For each genus with sample $n \geq 10$ and island populations $n > 3$, we performed a linear regression between body size (PC1) and species richness ($n = 19$ genera). We regressed the coefficient of the relationship between body size and species richness against mean body size of the genus. We repeated the analysis with the slope set to 0 for taxa in which the linear regression of body size by species richness was not statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. We performed this analysis for all taxa together, and also for Columbidae and Passeriformes separately. If the taxa in our study follow the island rule, we would expect the relationship to be positive: Small-bodied species should have negative relationships between island species

richness and body size, whereas large-bodied taxa should become smaller as island species richness decreases (SI Appendix, Fig. S11).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. J. Dean, C. Milensky, and J. Saucier (Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History); M. Robbins (University of Kansas Biodiversity Institute and Natural History Museum); R. Faucett (Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture); and P. Sweet (American Museum of Natural History) granted access to bird collections. J. Long, B. O. Wolf, J. H. Brown, E. J. Beckman, M. J. Andersen, A. B. Johnson, and three anonymous reviewers provided helpful feedback on this manuscript. A. W. Kratter and J. J. Kirchman collected many of the island specimens with flight muscle data used in this study. We thank C. E. Gunning and J. Long for help with R and statistical advice. The American Ornithologists' Union, National Science Foundation (NSF) Grants DEB-1146491 (to C.C.V.) and BCS-1118369 (to D.W.S.), and R. W. Dickerman provided funding support. N.A.W. was supported by NIH National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering Award T32EB009414 and a Drollinger-Dial postdoctoral fellowship.

- Darwin C (1859) *On the Origin of Species* (Murray, London).
- Wallace AR (1881) *Island Life* (Macmillan, London).
- Grant PR, Grant BR (2002) Unpredictable evolution in a 30-year study of Darwin's finches. *Science* 296(5568):707–711.
- Clegg SM, Owens IP (2002) The 'island rule' in birds: Medium body size and its ecological explanation. *Proc Biol Sci* 269(1498):1359–1365.
- Grant PR (1965) The adaptive significance of some size trends in island birds. *Evolution* 19(3):355–367.
- Boyer AG, Jetz W (2010) Biogeography of body size in Pacific island birds. *Ecography* 33(2):369–379.
- Lomolino MV (2005) Body size evolution in insular vertebrates: Generality of the island rule. *J Biogeogr* 32(10):1683–1699.
- McClain CR, Durst PA, Boyer AG, Francis CD (2013) Unravelling the determinants of insular body size shifts. *Biol Lett* 9(1):20120989.
- Meiri S, Cooper N, Purvis A (2008) The island rule: Made to be broken? *Proc Biol Sci* 275(1631):141–148.
- Meiri S, Dayan T, Simberloff D (2006) The generality of the island rule reexamined. *J Biogeogr* 33(9):1571–1577.
- Meiri S, Raia P, Phillimore AB (2011) Slaying dragons: Limited evidence for unusual body size evolution on islands. *J Biogeogr* 38(1):89–100.
- Leisler B, Winkler H (2015) Evolution of island warblers: Beyond bills and masses. *J Avian Biol* 46(3):236–244.
- Kirchman JJ (2009) Genetic tests of rapid parallel speciation of flightless birds from an extant volant ancestor. *Biol J Linn Soc Lond* 96(3):601–616.
- Slikas B, Olson SL, Fleisher RC (2002) Rapid, independent evolution of flightlessness in four species of Pacific Island rails (Rallidae): An analysis based on mitochondrial sequence data. *J Avian Biol* 33(1):5–14.
- Livezey BC (2003) Evolution of flightlessness in rails (Gruiformes: Rallidae): phylogenetic, ecomorphological, and ontogenetic perspectives. *Ornithol Monogr* 53:1–654.
- Steadman DW (2006) *Extinction and Biogeography of Tropical Pacific Birds* (Univ of Chicago Press, Chicago).
- Steadman DW, Morris JR, Wright NA (2013) A new species of Late Pleistocene rail (Aves: Rallidae) from Abaco, the Bahamas. *Paleontol J* 47(11):1355–1364.
- Olson SL (1973) Evolution of the rails of the South Atlantic islands (Aves: Rallidae). *Smithson Contrib Zool* 152:1–53.
- McNab BK (1994) Energy conservation and the evolution of flightlessness in birds. *Am Nat* 144:628–642.
- McNab BK (2002) Minimizing energy expenditure facilitates vertebrate persistence on oceanic islands. *Ecol Lett* 5:693–704.
- MacArthur RH, Wilson EO (1967) *The Theory of Island Biogeography* (Princeton Univ Press, Princeton, NJ).
- MacArthur RH (1972) *Geographical Ecology: Patterns in the Distribution of Species* (Princeton Univ Press, Princeton, NJ).
- Wright NA, Steadman DW (2012) Insular avian adaptations on two Neotropical continental islands. *J Biogeogr* 39(10):1891–1899.
- Wright NA, Gregory TR, Witt CC (2014) Metabolic 'engines' of flight drive genome size reduction in birds. *Proc Roy Soc B* 281(1779):20132780.
- Heers AM, Dial KP (2015) Wings versus legs in the avian bauplan: Development and evolution of alternative locomotor strategies. *Evolution* 69(2):305–320.
- Franklin J, Steadman DW (2008) Prehistoric species richness of birds on oceanic islands. *Oikos* 117:1885–1891.
- Raffaele H, Wiley J, Garrido O, Keith A, Raffaele J (1998) *A Guide to the Birds of the West Indies* (Princeton Univ Press, Princeton, NJ).
- Earls KD (2000) Kinematics and mechanics of ground take-off in the starling *Sturnis vulgaris* and the quail *Coturnix coturnix*. *J Exp Biol* 203(Pt 4):725–739.
- Tobalske BW, Althuler DL, Powers DR (2004) Take-off mechanics in hummingbirds (Trochilidae). *J Exp Biol* 207(Pt 8):1345–1352.
- Tobalske BW, Hedrick TL, Dial KP, Biewener AA (2003) Comparative power curves in bird flight. *Nature* 421(6921):363–366.
- Feinsinger P, Swann LA (1982) "Ecological release," seasonal variation in food supply, and the hummingbird *Amazilia tobaci* on Trinidad and Tobago. *Ecology* 63(5):1574–1587.
- Keeler-Wolf T (1986) The Barred Antshrike (*Thamnophilus doliatus*) on Trinidad and Tobago: Habitat niche expansion of a generalist forager. *Oecologia* 70(2):309–317.
- McCall RA, Nee S, Harvey PH (1998) The role of wing length in the evolution of avian flightlessness. *Evol Ecol* 12:569–580.
- Diamond JM (1981) Flightlessness and fear of flying in island species. *Nature* 293:507–508.
- Cooper WE, Jr, Pyron RA, Garland T, Jr (2014) Island tameness: Living on islands reduces flight initiation distance. *Proc Roy Soc B* 281(1777):20133019.
- Lack D (1983) *Darwin's Finches* (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- Blackburn TM, Cassey P, Duncan RP, Evans KL, Gaston KJ (2004) Avian extinction and mammalian introductions on oceanic islands. *Science* 305(5692):1955–1958.
- Ricklefs RE, Bermingham E (2002) The concept of the taxon cycle in biogeography. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 11(5):353–361.
- French R (1991) *A Guide to the Birds of Trinidad and Tobago* (Cornell Univ Press, Ithaca, NY).
- duPont JE (1976) *South Pacific Birds* (Delaware Mus Nat Hist, Greenville, DE).
- Kennedy RS, Gonzales PC, Dickinson EC, Miranda H, Fisher TH (2000) *A Guide to the Birds of the Philippines* (Oxford Univ Press, New York).
- Lepage D (2014) Avibase—bird checklists of the world. Available at avibase.bsc-eoc.org/avibase.jsp?lang=EN. Accessed June 11, 2014.
- R Core Team (2012) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (R Found Stat Comput, Vienna).
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K (2004) ape: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20(2):289–290.
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Development Core Team (2012) nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-104.
- Jetz W, Thomas GH, Joy JB, Hartmann K, Moores AO (2012) The global diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature* 491(7424):444–448.
- Hackett SJ, et al. (2008) A phylogenomic study of birds reveals their evolutionary history. *Science* 320(5884):1763–1768.
- Bellemain E, Bermingham E, Ricklefs RE (2008) The dynamic evolutionary history of the bananaquit (*Coereba flaveola*) in the Caribbean revealed by a multigene analysis. *BMC Evol Biol* 8:240.
- Andersen MJ, Oliveros CH, Filardi CE, Moyle RG (2013) Phylogeography of the Variable Dwarf-Kingfisher *Ceyx lepidus* (Aves: Alcedinidae) inferred from mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. *Auk* 130(1):118–131.
- Andersen MJ (2013) Diversification of the tropical Pacific avifauna. Ph.D thesis (University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS).
- Andersen MJ, et al. (2014) Molecular systematics of the world's most polytypic bird: The *Pachycephala pectoralis/melanura* (Aves: Pachycephalidae) species complex. *Zool J Linn Soc* 170(3):566–588.
- Hosner PA, Sánchez-González LA, Peterson AT, Moyle RG (2014) Climate-driven diversification and Pleistocene refugia in Philippine birds: Evidence from phylogeographic structure and paleoenvironmental niche modeling. *Evolution* 68(9):2658–2674.
- Sánchez-González LA, Moyle RG (2011) Molecular systematics and species limits in the Philippine fantails (Aves: Rhipidura). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 61(2):290–299.
- Andersen MJ, et al. (2015) Rapid diversification and secondary sympatry in Australo-Pacific kingfishers (Aves: Alcedinidae: Todiramphus). *R Soc Open Sci* 2(2):140375–140375.
- Arnold TW (2010) Uninformative parameters and model selection using Akaike's information criterion. *J Wildl Manage* 74(6):1175–1178.